

Curative Instruction as to improper ~~arg~~
argument by plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Hazz.

During his closing, Mr. Hazz made reference to a meeting between Officer Vincente and counsel for Sig Sauer, Mr. Campbell and Mr. Devine. Mr. Hazz improperly suggested and argued that Mr. Campbell and Mr. Devine influenced, or ~~concocted~~ what Officer Vincente ~~concocted~~ or otherwise improperly communicated with Officer Vincente. Those comments by Mr. Hazz were false and there was nothing whatsoever improper about the meeting between Officer Vincente and Counsel for Sig. Lawyers routinely and regularly meet with both witnesses prior to testifying. In fact Mr. Hazz ~~and his firm~~ met with Officer Vincente at his deposition and were to ask him any questions they chose. They were also free to meet with and contact Officer Vincente or any other member of the Cambridge police.

I instruct you to disregard the argument made by Mr. Hazz in their closing, and I advise that Mr. Campbell and Mr. Devine did nothing wrong by meeting with Officer Vincente.